

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/964,472	09/28/2001	Stephane Martel	11759-US	2782
23553 . 7:	590 10/15/2003		EXAMINER	
MARKS & CLERK		LATTIN, CHRISTOPHER W		
P.O. BOX 957 STATION B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OTTAWA, ON KIP 5S7			2812	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/964,472	MARTEL ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher W Lattin	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application ir	n
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	fthe final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPER	o
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather that have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	fee. The appropriate extension fe the final Office action; or (2) as set ection, even if timely filed, may redu	e under t forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amen	dment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT plac	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newl	ly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: At least one new issue introduced in the response filed 9/22/2003 is the addition of steps a-d in claim 1.

Continuation of 10. Other: The rejection made in the previous office action was properly made final because it was necessitated by applicant's amendments that were required to define the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

John F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800